SB0041 Vince McAvoy UNFAVORABLE SB0041_VMcAvoy_UNF

Senators of Judicial Proceedings,

I ask you to vote unfavorably for this bill as you did last year (SB57).

SB41 aims to supersede the existing rational that judges use for evaluation cases. The bill, at its heart, is aimed against fathers. This is a peculiar issue with Senator Lee. since she isn't a family law attorney. I wonder if she's even sat in a courtroom for a family law case.

This bill removes what few constructs Maryland family law has to discourage::

- 1) parental alienation,
- 2) contemptuous behavior regarding court-ordered child custody
- 3) abuse of extrinsic family law "professionals" being paid for evaluations and courtroom time

I urge an unfavorable.

This bill is *prima facie* flawed and unjust to the point it would not be viewed as lawful.

Thanks for your consideration and time.

humbly

~vince

---- Forwarded Message -----

From: Vince McAvoy <vince.mcavoy@yahoo.com>

To: john.wobensmith@maryland.gov <john.wobensmith@maryland.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019, 04:48:40 PM EST Subject: Fw. (2019 Term) SB567 with Amendment

Hello Secretary Wobensmith,

Moments after the Senate amendment was passed for a fathers rights group to be included with the SB567 taskforce, I sent the email you see below to IPR.

I have also submitted a form (going, perhaps, through Appointments Secretary Cavey) to be included in the taskforce.

I have not heard back from my submittal.

There appears to be no fathers rights group included in the Taskforce you are heading.

I'm disappointed that my submittals are ignored; more distressing is that the amendment isn't being honored.

Can you please give me an update regarding the Taskforce vacancy, current recommendations of the Taskforce and who has been vetted for the currently vacant role?

With thanks. humbly ~vince

From: Vince McAvoy <vince.mcavoy@yahoo.com>
To: "bobby.zirkin@senate.state.md.us" <bobby.zirkin@senate.state.md.us>; "jill.carter@senate.state.md.us" <jill.carter@senate.state.md.us" <Bob.Cassilly@senate.state.md.us" <Bob.Cassilly@senate.state.md.us>; "michael.hough@senate.state.md.us>; "justin.ready@senate.state.md.us" <justin.ready@senate.state.md.us>; "chris.west@senate.state.md.us" <chris.west@senate.state.md.us>; "chris.west@senate.state.md.us>; "chris.west@senate.sta "mary.washington@senate.state.md.us" <mary.washington@senate.state.md.us>

Sent: Friday, March 22, 2019, 12:27:56 PM EDT Subject: (2019 Term) SB567 with Amendment

Dear Senators~

As SB567 was just passed with Amendment to include at least one advocate from a "Fathers' Rights" group, I would appreciate your consideration of appointing me to the group to study/alleviate Child Abuse.

Thank you for your consideration, Vince

20	as follows.
24	Article – Family Law
25	9–101.3.
26	(E) (1) Before presiding over a child custody case involving
27	CHILD ABUSE OR DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, A JUDGE MUST RECEIVE AT LEAST 60 HOURS
28	OF INITIAL TRAINING APPROVED BY THE MARYLAND JUDICIARY THAT MEETS THE
29	
29	REQUIREMENTS OF SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION.
30	(2) A JUDGE WHO HAS RECEIVED THE INITIAL TRAINING UNDER
31	PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION AND WHO CONTINUES TO PRESIDE OVER
32	CHILD CUSTODY CASES INVOLVING CHILD ABUSE OR DOMESTIC VIOLENCE SHALL
33	RECEIVE AT LEAST AN ADDITIONAL 10 HOURS OF TRAINING THAT MEETS THE
34	REQUIREMENTS OF SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION EVERY 5 YEARS.
04	REQUIREMENTS OF SUBSECTION (b) OF THIS SECTION EVERY 3 TEARS.